

Fermionic Quasi-Particle Representations

for Characters of $\frac{(G^{(1)})_1 \times (G^{(1)})_1}{(G^{(1)})_2}$

R. Kedem,¹ T.R. Klassen,² B.M. McCoy,¹ and E. Melzer¹

Abstract

We present fermionic quasi-particle sum representations for some of the characters (or branching functions) of $\frac{(G^{(1)})_1 \times (G^{(1)})_1}{(G^{(1)})_2}$ for all simply-laced Lie algebras G . For given G the characters are written as the partition function of a set of rank G types of massless quasi-particles in certain charge sectors, with nontrivial lower bounds on the one-particle momenta. We discuss the non-uniqueness of the representation for the identity character of the critical Ising model, which arises in both the A_1 and E_8 cases.

¹ Institute for Theoretical Physics, SUNY, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3840

² Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854-0849

1. Introduction

Recently new sum representations for branching functions of the algebra $A_3^{(1)}$, which appear in the (non-diagonal) modular invariant partition function of the coset conformal field theory (CFT) $\frac{(A_3^{(1)})_1 \times (A_3^{(1)})_1}{(A_3^{(1)})_2}$, have been obtained from an analysis of Bethe's equations for the antiferromagnetic 3-state Potts chain [1].

To explain this result consider the sum

$$S_G(q) \equiv \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{m_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\mathbf{m} C_G^{-1} \mathbf{m}^t}}{(q)_{m_1} \cdots (q)_{m_n}} \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{m}=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\mathbf{m} C_G^{-1} \mathbf{m}^t}}{(q)_{m_1} \cdots (q)_{m_n}} , \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$, $(q)_m = \prod_{k=1}^m (1 - q^k)$ for $m > 0$, $(q)_0 = 1$, and C_G is the Cartan matrix of the simply-laced Lie algebra G of rank n . In ref. [1] it was found (and verified up to $O(q^{200})$) that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{A_3}(q) &\equiv \sum_{\mathbf{m}=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(3m_1^2 + 4m_2^2 + 3m_3^2 + 4m_1m_2 + 2m_1m_3 + 4m_2m_3)/4}}{(q)_{m_1} (q)_{m_2} (q)_{m_3}} \\ &= q^{1/24} [b_0^0(q; 3) + 2b_2^0(q; 3) + b_4^0(q; 3)] , \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where the $b_m^l(q; n)$ are the branching functions of $\frac{(A_n^{(1)})_1 \times (A_n^{(1)})_1}{(A_n^{(1)})_2}$ [2][3][4][5], which are equal by level-rank duality [6] to the branching functions of $(A_1^{(1)})_{n+1}/U(1)$ [7][8] given in (2.4) below. Moreover, restricting the sum on the first line of (1.2) to

$$m_1 + 2m_2 + 3m_3 \equiv Q \pmod{4}, \quad \text{with } Q = 0, \pm 1, 2, \quad (1.3)$$

gives separately b_0^0 , b_2^0 , and b_4^0 (see eqs. (3.13) and (3.19) of [1], where the notation $\mathbf{m} = (m_{2s}, m_{ns}, m_{-2s})$ was used). The sum representations of other characters in this theory are also presented in [1].

Here we present the *ADE* generalization of (1.2) and (1.3), corresponding to the cosets $\frac{(G^{(1)})_1 \times (G^{(1)})_1}{(G^{(1)})_2}$, where G is an arbitrary simply-laced Lie algebra. The possibly restricted sums of the form (1.1) again are branching functions, and we discuss their interpretation. Similar expressions for characters of the Virasoro minimal CFTs $M(2, 2n+3)$ as the sum side of the Andrews-Gordon identities [9], which may be thought of as associated with the algebras $A_{2n}^{(2)}$, have appeared in ref. [10].

2. ADE Generalization

The (restricted) sums (1.1) will turn out to be characters of the identity and certain other extended primary fields in the corresponding coset CFTs, which are the first models in the $\mathcal{WG}$ unitary series [5][11]. In the A_n case these CFTs are also known to describe $\mathbf{Z}_{n+1}$ parafermions [12][13][14] of central charge $c_n = \frac{2n}{n+3}$. In the D_n case they are [5][15] the points $r = \sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}$ on the orbifold line (or gaussian line, depending on the modular invariant combination of characters in the partition function) of $c = 1$ CFTs. These CFTs are known to be minimal with respect to extended chiral algebras [16] whose characters are essentially theta functions, see below. The CFTs in the E_n case, with $n = 6, 7, 8$, coincide [5][11] with the $c = \frac{6}{7}, \frac{7}{10}, \frac{1}{2}$ Virasoro minimal models, respectively, and therefore the branching functions reduce to various Virasoro characters.

Our results are as follows:

A_n ($n \geq 1$): Here the quadratic form in (1.1) reads

$$\mathbf{m} C_{A_n}^{-1} \mathbf{m}^t = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\sum_{a=1}^n a(n+1-a) m_a^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq a < b \leq n} a(n+1-b) m_a m_b \right) . \quad (2.1)$$

For this algebra the generalization of (1.2) is

$$S_{A_n}^Q(q) = q^{c_n/24} b_{2Q}^0(q; n) , \quad (2.2)$$

where the superscript Q on S indicates restriction of the summation in (1.1) to

$$\sum_{a=1}^n m_a a \equiv Q \pmod{n+1} \quad (2.3)$$

with $Q = 0, 1, \dots, n$. The branching function on the rhs of (2.2) corresponds to the $\mathbf{Z}_{n+1}$ -parafermionic primary field of weight $Q(1 - \frac{Q}{n+1})$. In general [8],

$$\begin{aligned} b_m^l(q; n) &= q^{l(l+2)/4(n+3) - m^2/4(n+1) + 1/4(n+3)} \eta^{-2} \\ &\times \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{r+s} q^{r(r+1)/2 + s(s+1)/2 + rs(n+2)} \\ &\times \left\{ q^{r(l+m)/2 + s(l-m)/2} - q^{n+2-l+r[n+2-(l+m)/2] + s[n+2-(l-m)/2]} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

when $l - m \in 2\mathbf{Z}$, $b_m^l = 0$ otherwise, and

$$\eta(q) = q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n) \quad (2.5)$$

is the Dedekind eta function. In the $n = 1, 2$ cases the branching functions coincide with certain characters $\chi_{r,s}^{(m)}(q)$ of the highest weight $\Delta_{r,s}^{(m)} = \frac{(r(m+1)-sm)^2-1}{4m(m+1)}$ irreducible representations of the Virasoro algebra at central charge $c = 1 - \frac{6}{m(m+1)}$ [17]

$$\chi_{r,s}^{(m)}(q) = \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \left(q^{\Delta_{r+2km,s}^{(m)}} - q^{\Delta_{r+2km,-s}^{(m)}} \right) , \quad (2.6)$$

namely,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{A_1}^0(q) &= \sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ \text{even}}}^{\infty} \frac{q^{m^2/2}}{(q)_m} = q^{1/48} \chi_{1,1}^{(3)}(q), \quad S_{A_1}^1(q) = \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ \text{odd}}}^{\infty} \frac{q^{m^2/2}}{(q)_m} = q^{1/48} \chi_{1,3}^{(3)}(q), \\ S_{A_2}^0(q) &= q^{1/30} [\chi_{1,1}^{(5)}(q) + \chi_{1,5}^{(5)}(q)], \quad S_{A_2}^{\pm 1}(q) = q^{1/30} \chi_{1,3}^{(5)}(q) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

When considered as characters of $(A_1^{(1)})_{n+1}/U(1)$, the formula (2.2) is that of Lepowski and Primc [13].

D_n (n ≥ 3): In this case

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m} C_{D_n}^{-1} \mathbf{m}^t &= \sum_{a=1}^{n-2} a m_a^2 + \frac{n}{4} (m_{n-1}^2 + m_n^2) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq a < b \leq n-2} a m_a m_b \\ &+ \sum_{a=1}^{n-2} a m_a (m_{n-1} + m_n) + \frac{n-2}{2} m_{n-1} m_n , \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

and the result is

$$S_{D_n}^Q(q) = q^{1/24} f_{n,nQ}(q) \quad (2.9)$$

with $Q = 0, 1$, where summation is restricted to

$$m_{n-1} + m_n \equiv Q \pmod{2}, \quad (2.10)$$

and the characters

$$f_{n,j}(q) = \frac{1}{\eta(q)} \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} q^{n(k + \frac{j}{2n})^2} \quad (2.11)$$

with $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ correspond to the extended primary fields of weight $\frac{j^2}{4n}$. Note that due to the coincidence $D_3 = A_3$ the expressions (2.2) and (2.9) are related when $n = 3$ by (cf. [1][18]) $S_{D_3}^0 = S_{A_3}^0 + S_{A_3}^2$ and $S_{D_3}^1 = 2S_{A_3}^1$.

E₆: With a suitable labeling of roots we have

$$C_{E_6}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 4/3 & 2/3 & 1 & 4/3 & 5/3 & 2 \\ 2/3 & 4/3 & 1 & 5/3 & 4/3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 4/3 & 5/3 & 2 & 10/3 & 8/3 & 4 \\ 5/3 & 4/3 & 2 & 8/3 & 10/3 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 6 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.12)$$

Here we find

$$S_{E_6}^0(q) = q^{c/24} [\chi_{1,1}^{(6)}(q) + \chi_{5,1}^{(6)}(q)] \quad , \quad S_{E_6}^{\pm 1}(q) = q^{c/24} \chi_{3,1}^{(6)}(q) \quad , \quad (2.13)$$

with the restrictions

$$m_1 - m_2 + m_4 - m_5 \equiv Q \pmod{3} \quad (2.14)$$

and $c = 6/7$. The Virasoro characters are as in (2.6), the relevant weights being $\Delta_{1,1}^{(6)} = 0$, $\Delta_{5,1}^{(6)} = 5$, and $\Delta_{3,1}^{(6)} = 4/3$.

E₇: Here we can write

$$C_{E_7}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 3/2 & 1 & 3/2 & 2 & 2 & 5/2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 3/2 & 2 & 7/2 & 3 & 4 & 9/2 & 6 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 6 & 8 \\ 5/2 & 3 & 9/2 & 5 & 6 & 15/2 & 9 \\ 3 & 4 & 6 & 6 & 8 & 9 & 12 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$S_{E_7}^0(q) = q^{c/24} \chi_{1,1}^{(4)}(q) \quad , \quad S_{E_7}^1(q) = q^{c/24} \chi_{3,1}^{(4)}(q) \quad . \quad (2.16)$$

The restrictions in this case are

$$m_1 + m_3 + m_6 \equiv Q \pmod{2}, \quad (2.17)$$

$c = 7/10$, and $\Delta_{1,1}^{(4)} = 0$, $\Delta_{3,1}^{(4)} = 3/2$.

E₈: Finally in this case

$$C_{E_8}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 10 \\ 3 & 4 & 6 & 6 & 8 & 8 & 10 & 12 \\ 3 & 5 & 6 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 12 & 15 \\ 4 & 6 & 8 & 9 & 12 & 12 & 15 & 18 \\ 4 & 7 & 8 & 10 & 12 & 14 & 16 & 20 \\ 5 & 8 & 10 & 12 & 15 & 16 & 20 & 24 \\ 6 & 10 & 12 & 15 & 18 & 20 & 24 & 30 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$S_{E_8}(q) = q^{c/24} \chi_{1,1}^{(3)}(q) \quad , \quad (2.19)$$

is the character of the identity ($\Delta = 0$) representation of the Virasoro algebra with $c = 1/2$.

The above generalizations of [1] have been verified using MathematicaTM for all simply-laced Lie algebras of rank $n \leq 8$ up to order q^{100} .

It would of course be most desirable to have direct proofs of the D_n and E_n sum representations for the characters, as for the A_n case [13].

3. Quasi-Particle Representation

All the above representations for branching functions may be put in a fermionic quasi-particle form by following the reverse of the procedure of [1]. Let $P_d(m, N)$ denote the number of ways that a positive integer N can be additively partitioned into m distinct positive integers. (We set $P_d(0, 0) = 1$ and $P_d(m, 0) = P_d(0, N) = 0$ for $m, N > 0$.) Then using

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} P_d(m, N) q^N = \frac{q^{m(m+1)/2}}{(q)_m} \quad (3.1)$$

we rewrite (1.1) as

$$S_G(q) = \sum_{\mathbf{N}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{m}=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{a=1}^n P_d(m_a, N_a) \right) q^{\sum_{a=1}^n [N_a + m_a (\sum_{b=1}^n (C_G^{-1})_{ab} m_b - \frac{m_a + 1}{2})]} \quad . \quad (3.2)$$

Fermionic quasi-particles are characterized by a set of single particle energy levels $e_a(P)$ and an additive composition law for multi-particle energy gaps above the ground state

$$\hat{E}(\{P\}) \equiv E_{\text{ex}}(\{P\}) - E_{GS} = \sum_{a, j_a, \text{rules}} e_a(P_{a, j_a}) \quad , \quad (3.3)$$

and their momenta

$$P(\{P\}) - P_{GS} = \sum_{a, j_a, \text{rules}} P_{a, j_a} . \quad (3.4)$$

One of the rules of composition is the fermionic exclusion rule

$$P_{a, i_a} \neq P_{a, j_a} \quad \text{for } i_a \neq j_a . \quad (3.5)$$

To specify the P_{a, j_a} we consider the system to be in a box of size L which serves as an infrared cutoff and quantizes the P_i in units of $2\pi/L$. Taking a continuum limit, the massless single particle energies e_a all reduce to

$$e_a(P) = v|P| , \quad (3.6)$$

where v is called the speed of sound (or light). Considering the partition function for left moving excitations, with the ground state energy scaled out,

$$Z(q) = \sum e^{-\hat{E}/kT}, \quad (3.7)$$

and setting

$$q = e^{-2\pi v/LkT} , \quad (3.8)$$

we see that (3.2) results if the P_{a, j_a} are restricted by

$$P_{a, j_a} = P_a^{\min}(\mathbf{m}) + \frac{2\pi}{L} k_{j_a} \quad (3.9)$$

where k_{j_a} are distinct nonnegative integers and

$$P_a^{\min}(\mathbf{m}) = \frac{2\pi}{L} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=1}^n N_{ab} m_b \right], \quad (3.10)$$

in terms of the matrix $N = 2C_G^{-1} - 1$. This generalizes the infrared anomaly rule (3.15) of [1].

A particularly interesting example of the quasi-particle representation is provided by comparing the E_8 sum with the $Q = 0$ sector of the A_1 sum, both of which give the identity character of the critical Ising model. This demonstrates the important fact that the fermionic quasi-particle representation is not unique, in general. In the A_1 case $C_{A_1} = 2$, so the momentum restrictions (3.10) are simply those of a free fermion, $P^{\min} =$

π/L independent of $\mathbf{m}$. In contrast, the E_8 representation involves 8 quasi-particles with nontrivial momentum restrictions. We compare these representations in tables 1 and 2, where we show the particle content up to order q^{30} . The order q^{30} is chosen because this is the lowest order where all E_8 quasi-particle types make a nonvanishing contribution. One pattern that is seen to emerge from the two tables, namely that the lowest level where a $2N$ -particle state appears in the A_1 spectrum is also the lowest level a state of N quasi-particles of type 1 appears in the E_8 spectrum, is easily shown to persist for all N . In table 3 we give more details about the structure of the E_8 representation up to order q^{14} .

The meaning of the restrictions (2.3), (2.10), (2.14), (2.17) is understood in the above picture if we assign the quasi-particles charges with respect to a symmetry of the Dynkin diagram of the affine extension $G^{(1)}$ of G . In the A_n case this symmetry is $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_{n+1}$ (except for the $n=1$ case where it is just $\mathbf{Z}_2$) and the quasi-particle of type a carries a $\mathbf{Z}_{n+1}$ charge a , quasi-particles a and $n+1-a$ being C -conjugates of each other. Thus $Q = \sum_{a=1}^n m_a a$ is the total charge of the system, and $S_G^Q(q)$ is the partition function in the corresponding charge sector. In the D_n case we need to utilize just a $\mathbf{Z}_2$ subgroup of the full symmetry of the $D_n^{(1)}$ Dynkin diagram, under which the quasi-particles $n-1$ and n are charged while all others are neutral. In the E_6 case the symmetry is $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_3$, with the $\mathbf{Z}_3$ -charge assignment $1, -1, 0, 1, -1, 0$ for the quasi-particles $a = 1, \dots, 6$, respectively. Finally, the E_7 system exhibits a $\mathbf{Z}_2$ symmetry, under which quasi-particles 1, 3, and 6 are odd, the rest being even. It can easily be seen that $\mathbf{m} C_G^{-1} \mathbf{m}^t \pmod{1}$ is constant in every charge sector — as it should, since the branching functions have a power series expansion in q (up to an overall possibly fractional power) — while generically they are different in sectors of different charge.

4. Extracting the Central Charge

The leading behavior of any character $\chi(q)$ of a CFT for $q \rightarrow 1^-$ is determined [1][19][20] by the effective central charge $\tilde{c} = c - 12d_{\min}$ (where $d_{\min}$ is the smallest scaling dimension in the theory):

$$\chi(q) \simeq \tilde{q}^{-\tilde{c}/24} \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow 1^-, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\tilde{q} = e^{-2\pi LkT/v}$ for q given by (3.8). In the cases at hand, where the characters are of the form (1.1), $\tilde{c}$ can be evaluated along the lines of [21]. The result can be written as follows (cf. [20]): For an n by n symmetric matrix B ,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{0}}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\mathbf{m}B\mathbf{m}^t}}{(q)_{m_1} \cdots (q)_{m_n}} \simeq \tilde{q}^{-\tilde{c}/24} \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow 1^- , \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\tilde{c} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \sum_{a=1}^n \mathcal{L} \left(\frac{x_a}{1+x_a} \right) . \quad (4.3)$$

Here

$$\mathcal{L}(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^z dt \left[\frac{\ln t}{1-t} + \frac{\ln(1-t)}{t} \right] \quad (4.4)$$

is the Rogers dilogarithm function [22], and the x_a satisfy the equations

$$x_a = \prod_{b=1}^n (1+x_b)^{-N_{ab}} , \quad (4.5)$$

with $N = 2B - 1$.

In the cases of interest here, where B is the inverse Cartan matrix of a simply-laced algebra G , eqs. (4.3) and (4.5) have been encountered and solved previously in the context of 1) purely elastic scattering theories and their ultraviolet limits [15] and 2) the finite-temperature thermodynamics of RSOS spin chains [23]. Eqs. (4.5) have a unique real solution. Inserting this solution into (4.3) and using appropriate sum rules [24][23][15] for the Rogers dilogarithm the known central charges of the $\frac{(G^{(1)})_1 \times (G^{(1)})_1}{(G^{(1)})_2}$ coset CFTs are obtained.

In [20] eqs. (4.1)–(4.5) were applied to the non-unitary Virasoro minimal models $M(2, 2n+3)$ of central charge $c = -\frac{2n(6n+5)}{2n+3}$. The sum side of the Andrews-Gordon identities [9] takes the role of $S_G(q)$ in this case, the product side being a way of writing the characters of the primary fields in these CFTs [10]. The sum for the character of the smallest dimension field is of the form (1.1) if one takes $(2 - I_n)^{-1}$ instead of the inverse Cartan matrix, where I_n is the “generalized incidence matrix” for the twisted affine Lie algebra $A_{2n}^{(2)}$ introduced in [15] (it differs from that of the Lie algebra A_n only by a 1 on the last entry of the diagonal, *i.e.* it is the incidence matrix of the tadpole graph $A_{2n}/\mathbf{Z}_2$). As a generalization of an observation made in [1], we note that this sum can be obtained from the one in $S_{D_{n+2}}(q)$ by avoiding the summation over m_{n+1} and m_{n+2}

(*i.e.* setting both to zero) due to the fact that the upper-left $n \times n$ minor of $C_{D_{n+2}}^{-1}$ is precisely $(2 - I_n)^{-1}$. Eqs. (4.1)–(4.5) then give the effective central charges $\tilde{c} = \frac{2n}{2n+3}$ of these theories, cf. [15][20]. Our discussion in sect. 3 extends in a straightforward way to give a quasi-particle interpretation of the models $M(2, 2n + 3)$ (cf. [1] for the case $n=1$).

5. Discussion

In [1] the fermionic quasi-particle representation (1.2) of the branching functions was found by studying the spectrum of the antiferromagnetic 3-state Potts chain, realized as an RSOS model based on the Dynkin diagram of D_4 . This D_4 model is obtained by an orbifold construction [25][26] from the A_5 RSOS model, and the antiferromagnetic spectrum corresponds to the boundary of the I/II regime. It is expected that the fermionic representation of the branching functions given above for A_n can be obtained from a similar study of the A_{n+2} RSOS models at the boundary of the I/II regime. Such a study would extend the computations of Klümper and Pearce [27] and would provide explicit results for the other characters not considered in this paper. We remark, however, that the analagous study of the ferromagnetic region of the $\mathbf{Z}_{n+1}$ model of Fateev and Zamolodchikov [28], which has the identical $\mathbf{Z}_{n+1}$ parafermionic branching functions as the I/II boundary of the A_{n+2} RSOS models, gives a different fermionic representation [29] because the quasi-particle spectra of the lattice models are quite different.

The *ADE* algebras that appear in the fermionic sum formulas presented here are the same as the algebras relevant for the integrable massive scattering theories discussed in [15], which describe certain perturbations of the corresponding *ADE*-related coset CFTs. For example, the energy perturbation of the critical Ising model, the well known Ising field theory [30], is related to A_1 , and the magnetic perturbation of the Ising model describes a scattering theory with 8 particles, as discovered by A. Zamolodchikov [31]. Not only do the numbers of particles in the massive scattering theories agree with those in the quasi-particle picture, also the charge assignments for the quasi-particles in sect. 3 are consistent with those of the particles in the scattering theories. Furthermore, we note the similarity of (3.9), (3.10) with the UV limit (large rapidities) of the Bethe Ansatz equations for the diagonal scattering theories discussed in [15].

We therefore strongly suspect that different fermionic representations of the same branching functions are closely related to various integrable perturbations of the relevant

CFT. It is also interesting to see whether there is any relation between the massless quasi-particle interpretations suggested by our results and the massless S -matrix theories which were associated with certain CFTs in [32] (where different S -matrices for a given CFT were also thought of as corresponding to different integrable perturbations of that CFT). We believe that characters of $\frac{(G^{(1)})_1 \times (G^{(1)})_1}{(G^{(1)})_2}$ not discussed in this paper also have fermionic representations, as in the A_n case discussed in [13].

Acknowledgements

We are pleased to acknowledge fruitful discussions with Prof. J. Lepowsky. The work of R.K. and B.M.M. was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under grant DMR-9106648. The work of T.R.K. was supported by NSERC and the Department of Energy, grant DE-FG05-90ER40559, and that of E.M. by NSF grant 91-08054. E.M. wishes to thank the High Energy group at Tel Aviv University for their hospitality during the time in which part of this work has been done.

References

- [1] R. Kedem and B.M. McCoy, Stony Brook preprint ITP-SB-92-56, hep-th/9210129.
- [2] V.A. Fateev and S.L. Lykhanov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A3 (1988) 507.
- [3] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa and M. Okado, Nucl. Phys. B300[FS22] (1988) 74.
- [4] P. Christe and F. Ravanini, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A4 (1989) 897.
- [5] V.A. Fateev and S.L. Lykhanov, Sov. Sci. Rev. A Phys. 15 (1990) 1.
- [6] D. Altschuler, M. Bauer and H. Saleur, J. Phys.A 23 (1990) L789.
- [7] V.G. Kac and D.H. Peterson, Adv. in Math. 53 (1984) 125.
- [8] J. Distler and Z. Qiu, Nucl. Phys. B336 (1990) 533.
- [9] B. Gordon, Amer. J. Math. 83 (1961) 393;
G.E. Andrews, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 71 (1974) 4082.
- [10] B.L. Feigin, T. Nakanishi and H. Ooguri, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A7, Suppl. 1A (1992) 217.
- [11] F.A. Bais, P. Bouwknegt, K. Schoutens and M. Surridge, Nucl. Phys. B304 (1988) 348 and 371.
- [12] J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 78 (1981) 7254.
- [13] J. Lepowsky and M. Primc, *Structure of the standard modules for the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$* , Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 46 (AMS, Providence, 1985).
- [14] A.B. Zamolodchikov and V.A. Fateev, Sov. Phys. JETP 62 (1985) 215.
- [15] T.R. Klassen and E. Melzer, Nucl. Phys. B338 (1990) 485.
- [16] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, Commun. Math. Phys. 123 (1989) 177;
R. Dijkgraaf, C. Vafa, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, Commun. Math. Phys. 123 (1989) 485.
- [17] A. Rocha-Caridi, in: *Vertex Operators in Mathematics and Physics*, ed. J. Lepowsky *et al* (Springer, Berlin, 1985).
- [18] V.G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, Adv. in Math. 70 (1988) 156.
- [19] J.L. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. B270 (1986) 186;
R. Dijkgraaf and E. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 5B (1988) 87.
- [20] W. Nahm, A. Recknagel and M. Terhoeven, Bonn preprint, hep-th/9211034.
- [21] B. Richmond and G. Szekeres, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 31 (1981) 362.
- [22] L. Lewin, *Dilogarithms and associated functions* (MacDonald, London, 1958).
- [23] A.N. Kirillov, Zap. Nauch. Semin. LOMI 164 (1987) 121 (J. Sov. Math. 47 (1989) 2450); V.V. Bazhanov and N.Yu. Reshetikhin, J. Phys. A23 (1990) 1477.
- [24] A.N. Kirillov and N.Yu. Reshetikhin, J. Phys. A20 (1987) 1587.
- [25] P. Fendley and P. Ginsparg, Nucl. Phys. B324 (1989), 549.
- [26] P.A. Pearce and X.K. Zhao (in preparation).
- [27] A. Klümper and P.A. Pearce, J. Stat. Phys. 64 (1991) 13; Physica A 183 (1992) 304.
- [28] V.A. Fateev and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Phys. Lett. 92A (1982) 37.

- [29] S. Dasmahapatra, R. Kedem and B.M. McCoy (in preparation).
- [30] B.M. McCoy, C.A. Tracy and T.T. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38 (1977) 793.
- [31] A.B. Zamolodchikov, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 19 (1989) 641.
- [32] A.B. Zamolodchikov and Al.B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B379 (1992) 602.

$\hat{E} \ L/2\pi v$	$d_{\hat{E}}$	2-particle	4-particle	6-particle
0	1			
1	0			
2	1	1		
3	1	1		
4	2	2		
5	2	2		
6	3	3		
7	3	3		
8	5	4	1	
9	5	4	1	
10	7	5	2	
11	8	5	3	
12	11	6	5	
13	12	6	6	
14	16	7	9	
15	18	7	11	
16	23	8	15	
17	26	8	18	
18	33	9	23	1
19	37	9	27	1
20	46	10	34	2
21	52	10	39	3
22	63	11	47	5
23	72	11	54	7
24	87	12	64	11
25	98	12	72	14
26	117	13	84	20
27	133	13	94	26
28	157	14	108	35
29	178	14	120	44
30	209	15	136	58

Table 1: Total, $d_{\hat{E}}$, and number of 2-, 4-, and 6-particle states contributing to the A_1 representation of the character $q^{1/48}\chi_{1,1}^{(3)}$ of the critical Ising model.

$\hat{E} \ L/2\pi v$	$d_{\hat{E}}$	1-particle	2-particle	3-particle
0	1			
1	0			
2	1	1		
3	1	1		
4	2	2		
5	2	2		
6	3	3		
7	3	3		
8	5	4	1	
9	5	4	1	
10	7	4	3	
11	8	4	4	
12	11	5	6	
13	12	5	7	
14	16	6	10	
15	18	6	12	
16	23	6	17	
17	26	6	20	
18	33	6	26	1
19	37	6	30	1
20	46	7	36	3
21	52	7	40	5
22	63	7	48	8
23	72	7	54	11
24	87	7	64	16
25	98	7	71	20
26	117	7	82	28
27	133	7	90	36
28	157	7	102	48
29	178	7	111	60
30	209	8	123	78

Table 2: Total, $d_{\hat{E}}$, and number of 1-, 2-, and 3-particle states contributing to the E_8 representation of the character $q^{1/48}\chi_{1,1}^{(3)}$ of the critical Ising model.

$\hat{E} \ L/2\pi v$	$d_{\hat{E}}$	$\mathbf{m}$	$d_{\hat{E},\mathbf{m}}$	$\mathbf{P}^{\min}(\mathbf{m}) \ L/2\pi$
2	1	(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	1	(2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
3	1	(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	1	(2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
4	2	(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	1	(2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
		(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	1	(0, 4, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
5	2			
6	3			
		(0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	1	(0, 0, 6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
7	3			
8	5			
		(0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)	1	(0, 0, 0, 8, 0, 0, 0, 0)
		(2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	1	($\frac{7}{2}$, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
9	5			
10	7			
		(2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	2	($\frac{7}{2}$, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
		(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	1	(4, 6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
11	8			
		(2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	2	($\frac{7}{2}$, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
		(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	2	(4, 6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
12	11			
		(2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	3	($\frac{7}{2}$, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
		(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	3	(4, 6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
		(0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)	1	(0, 0, 0, 0, 12, 0, 0, 0)
13	12			
		(2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	3	($\frac{7}{2}$, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
		(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	4	(4, 6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
14	16			
		(2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	4	($\frac{7}{2}$, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
		(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	5	(4, 6, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
		(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)	1	(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 14, 0, 0)
		(1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	1	(5, 0, 9, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)

Table 3: More details about the structure of the E_8 representation of table 2, namely the occupation number vectors $\mathbf{m}$ contributing for a given energy gap $\hat{E}$, the degeneracy $d_{\hat{E},\mathbf{m}}$ at given $\hat{E}$, $\mathbf{m}$, and the minimal momenta $P_a^{\min}(\mathbf{m})$ assembled into a vector $\mathbf{P}^{\min}(\mathbf{m})$, with $P_a^{\min}(\mathbf{m}) \equiv 0$ if $m_a = 0$. For $\hat{E} > 4$ we only show $\mathbf{m}$ with $d_{\hat{E},\mathbf{m}} > 1$ or those that have not appeared for smaller $\hat{E}$.